

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

EXAMINER

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10003415-1 06/18/2001 Glenn R. Engel 09/884,353

Legal Department, DL429

Loveland, CO 80537-0599

P. O. Box 7599

2420

PAPER NUMBER

7590

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

12/12/2006

PATEL, NIKETA I

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/884,353	ENGEL ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	Niketa I. Patel	2181	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Se	entember 2006		
		action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-42</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-42</u> is/are rejected.				
_	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 June 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
			FRITZ FLEMING FERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER ECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/884,353

Art Unit: 2181

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 21-22, 24, 26, 29, 33-34, 36 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rogers et al. U.S. Patent Number: 6,405,111 B2 (hereinafter 'Rogers'.)
- 3. **Referring to claim 21, 33**, *Rogers* teaches a system and a method comprising: a device [figure 4, elements 200, 210] that is capable of performing at least one behavior according to a set of configuration data [see column 9, lines 11-50, the examiner construes the functionality as configuration data], the device generating an HTTP request on a communication network [see column 9, liens 11-22, 'HTTP'] such that the HTTP specifies a URL [see column 9, liens 23-28, the examiner construes the TCP/IP address as the URL] associated with the configuration data [see column 9, lines 31-33, various functionalities]; configuration server that responds to the HTTP request by generating an HTTP response on the communication network such that the HTTP response carries the configuration data to the device [see column 9, lines 11-15, 28-33 and column 10- lines 55-66, 'the controller 220 provides functionality to the automotive service equipments'.]

Application/Control Number: 09/884,353 Page 3

Art Unit: 2181

4. **Referring to claims 22, 34**, *Rogers* teaches wherein the HTTP request is an HTTP POST that includes a set of data generated by the behavior of the device [see column 10, lines 5-9, 'raw data'.]

- 5. **Referring to claim 24, 36,** *Rogers* teaches wherein the data is associated with an error detected by the device [see column 11, lines 54-61, 'data related to equipment problems diagnoses'.]
- 6. **Referring to claim 26, 38**, *Rogers* teaches wherein the data includes a set of measurements obtained by the device [see column 10, lines 5-9, 'raw data'.]
- 7. **Referring to claim 29**, *Rogers* teaches a local network that enables communication among the device and a set of additional devices [see column 11, lines 27-47, 'local HTTP network at the shop'.]

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 23, 25, 35, 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rogers et al. U.S. Patent Number: 6,405,111 B2 (hereinafter 'Rogers') and further in view of U.S Patent Application Publication No: 2005/0210296 A1 (hereinafter 'Devine'.)
- 10. **Referring to claim 23, 25, 35, 37**, *Rogers* teaches collecting various types of data from the device and transferring it to the host controller [see column 10, lines 5-9, 'raw data'] however

Art Unit: 2181

fails to teach wherein the data is associated with a periodic heartbeat of the device and an alarm detected by the device. *Devine* teaches collecting a heartbeat and an alarm data in order to determine the status of the device connected to the network [see paragraph 132.]

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention would have clearly recognized that it is quite advantageous for the device configuration system of *Rogers* to be able to monitor status of the device by collecting a heartbeat and an alarm data. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to collect data associated with heartbeat and an alarm data.

- 11. Claims 27-28, 30-32, 39 and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rogers et al. U.S. Patent Number: 6,405,111 B2 (hereinafter '*Rogers*') as applied to claims 21, 33 above, and further in view of Kobata et al. U.S. Patent Number: 6,591,367 (hereinafter referred to as "*Kobata*".)
- 12. **Referring to claims 27, 39**, *Rogers* teaches receiving the configuration data form a workstation [see column 9, lines 11-15, 28-33 and column 10- lines 55-66] *Rogers* does not set forth the limitation of setting a configuration pending indicator however *Kobata* teaches this limitation [see *Kobata* column 4, lines 22-33.] One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention would have clearly recognized that it is quite advantageous for the device configuration system of *Rogers* for providing protection from unauthorized use of data by a system. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use configuration pending indicator to provide protection form unauthorized use of data by a system.

Application/Control Number: 09/884,353

Art Unit: 2181

13. **Referring to claim 28**, teachings of *Rogers* as modified by the teachings of *Kobata* teaches wherein the configuration server transfers the configuration data to the device in the HTTP request if the configuration pending indicator is set and transfers an acknowledgement to the device otherwise [see *Kobata* column 4, lines 22-33.]

Page 5

- 14. **Referring to claims 30, 40, 41**, teachings of *Rogers* as modified by the teachings of *Kobata* teaches wherein the HTTP request includes a configuration change message that indicates that a specified one of the additional devices has a set of pending configuration data on the configuration server however *Kobata* teaches this limitation [see *Kobata* column 4, lines 22-33.] One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention would have clearly recognized that it is quite advantageous for the device configuration system of *Mendez* for providing protection from unauthorized use of data by a system. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use configuration pending indicator to provide protection form unauthorized use of data by a system.
- 15. **Referring to claim 31**, teachings of *Rogers* as modified by the teachings of *Kobata* teaches wherein the deivce transfers the configuration change message to the specified one of the additional devices via the local network [see *Rogers* see column 9, lines 11-15, 28-33 and column 10- lines 55-66.]
- 16. **Referring to claims 32, 42,** teachings of *Rogers* as modified by the teachings of *Kobata* teaches wherein the specified one of the additional devices obtains the pending configuration data by transferring an additional request message to the configuration server via the communication network [see *Kobata* column 4, lines 22-33.]

Response to Arguments

17. Applicant's arguments filed 09/27/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that *Rogers* does not teach that the HTTP response carries the configuration data and instead teaches that the ActiveX communicate such data using direct communication (remarks, pages 6-7).

The examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. *Rogers* clearly teaches that the communication between the input controller 200 and networked controller 220 is carried out by using HTTP protocol (see figure 4, element 200, 220 and the HTTP link connecting these elements and column 9, lines 11-14, 28-31 and column 10, lines 63-67 and column 11, line 1.) Furthermore, *Rogers* teaches that the ActiveX technologies are used to write service applications because ActiveX programmer is not required to know the details of communicating information over the network to write an application. This way application can be written as a stand along program, and then later connected to the HTTP network when it is desired to share information. ActiveX is used to share information within the computer operating system software [see column 12, lines 1-15.]

Conclusion

18. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 2181

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Niketa I. Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272 4156. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Fritz Fleming can be reached on (571) 272 4145. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571,72\$\frac{1}{2}-1000

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

NP

12/08/2006